

124



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

09/727,334

11/29/2000

John C. Goodwin III

9126.00

3545

26884

7590

03/15/2004

PAUL W. MARTIN
LAW DEPARTMENT, WHQ-5E
1700 S. PATTERSON BLVD.
DAYTON, OH 45479-0001

EXAMINER

VU, THONG H

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2142

DATE MAILED: 03/15/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PRQ

Office Action Summary	Application No. 09/727,334	Applicant(s) GOODWIN ET AL.	
	Examiner Thong H Vu	Art Unit 2142	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 November 2000.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 29 November 2000 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

1. Claims 1-6 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Dujari [6,233,606 B1].
3. As per claim 1, Dujari discloses a web content downloading method for a network kiosk [Dujari col 1 lines 4-6] comprising the steps of:

(a) determining a number of web content addresses (i.e.: URIs) [Dujari, a list of files in the cache with server references URIs, col 6 lines 4-12, a list of specified content types, col 8 lines 38-55] and corresponding download times [Dujari, corresponding time to synchronize, col 8 lines 12-21; download time, col 9 lines 10-43]; and

(b) downloading and storing web content at the web content addresses at the download times by the kiosk [Dujari, comparing the current data and time against the download time, col 9 lines 10-43], before the kiosk displays any of the web content [Dujari, if the confidence level is reached the automatic cache synchronization mechanism enters the content into an automatic synchronization mode or display, col 6 lines 31-col 7 lines 8, col 11 lines 25-33].

4. Claims 2,3 and 5 contain the similar limitations set forth of method claim 1.

Therefore, claims 2,3,5 are rejected for the similar rationale set forth in claim 1.

5. As per claim 4, Dujari discloses a method of displaying a web page comprising the steps of

(a) storing a list of web content addresses and corresponding download time criteria [Dujari, a list of files in the cache with server references URIs, col 6 lines 4-12, a list of specified content types, col 8 lines 38-55];

(b) comparing a current time with the download times [Dujari, comparing the current data and time against the download time, col 9 lines 10-43];

(c) determining first download times which are after the current time [Dujari, the checking time;

(d) downloading and storing web content at first web content addresses corresponding to the first download times in the list in a storage medium by the kiosk, before the kiosk displays any of the web content [Dujari, download time, col 9 lines 10-43];

(e) recording an address of the web page by the kiosk [Dujari, the URI entered into an automatic synchronization mode, col 7 lines 40-57];

(f) reading first web content identified in the web page which is located in the storage medium by the kiosk [Dujari, time to synchronize, col 8 lines 12-27]; and

(g) displaying the web page with the first web content [Dujari, display device, col 4 lines 3-21].

6. Claim 6 contains the similar limitations set forth of method claim 4. Therefore, claim 6 is rejected for the similar rationale set forth in claim 4.

7. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Killian [6,438,592 B1].

8. As per claim 1, Killian discloses a web content downloading method for a network kiosk comprising the steps of:

(a) determining a number of web content addresses and corresponding download times [Killian, a domain name strings corresponding to the queries source addresses, col 18 lines 14-22]; and

(b) downloading and storing web content at the web content addresses at the download times by the kiosk [Killian, col 10 lines 66-col 11 line 6], before the kiosk displays any of the web content [Killian, download and display, col 12 lines 54-62; col 27 lines 14-34; col 33 lines 1-10;32-47].

9. Claims 2,3 and 5 contain the similar limitations set forth of method claim 1. Therefore, claims 2,3,5 are rejected for the similar rationale set forth in claim 1.

10. As per claim 4, Killian discloses a method of displaying a web page comprising the steps of

(a) storing a list of web content addresses and corresponding download time criteria [Killian, a domain name strings corresponding to the queries source addresses, col 18 lines 14-22; files addresses, col 35 lines 1-10; URL lists, col 37 lines 27-36];

(b) comparing a current time with the download times [Killian, matching the entry the current data and the download time, col 33 lines 31-47];

(c) determining first download times which are after the current time [Dujari, the checking time;

(d) downloading and storing web content at first web content addresses corresponding to the first download times in the list in a storage medium by the kiosk, before the kiosk displays any of the web content [Killian, first download, col 41 line 62- col 42 line 29];

(e) recording an address of the web page by the kiosk [Killian, naming Url of the Web page, col 15 lines 12-35];

(f) reading first web content identified in the web page which is located in the storage medium by the kiosk [Killian, col 15 lines 12-35]; and

(g) displaying the web page with the first web content [Killian, download and display, col 12 lines 54-62; col 27 lines 14-34; col 33 lines 1-10; 32-47].

11. Claim 6 contains the similar limitations set forth of method claim 4. Therefore, claim 6 is rejected for the similar rationale set forth in claim 4.

Art Unit: 2142

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to examiner Thong Vu, whose telephone number is (703)-305-4643. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:00AM- 4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, *Jack Harvey*, can be reached at (703) 305-9705.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-9700.

Any response to this action should be mailed to: Commissioner of Patent and Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 20231 or faxed to :

After Final (703) 746-7238

Official: (703) 746-7239

Non-Official (703) 746-7240

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park 11,2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Thong Vu
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 2142

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Thong Vu', with a horizontal line underneath.